MHD Turbulence in the Solar Wind

Sean Oughton Mathickmoteidit Deptart metitle style University of Waikato Hamilton New Zealand



14/12/11

Bochum, Sep 2011

Outline

A. Solar Wind Fluctuations

- 1. Nature. waves? turbulence? ...
- 2. Anisotropy
- 3. Models: ~ critical balance
- **B.** Radial evolution
 - Turbulence models
 - Observational comparison

incompressible $Hc = \langle v.b \rangle = 0$

Observations suggest presence of waves and turbulence

Alfven Waves

•

[Belcher & Davis 1971]



i.e., v = b which "implies" Alfven waves

Turbulence:

Magnetic Energy Spectrum, P(f) Power-law inertial range



[Goldstein EA 1995]

Aside: Kolmogorov Theory



· Write fields as mean + fluct $B = \langle B \rangle + b$

• Often $b / ~ \frac{1}{2}$

so is significant, but NOT dominant

· Anisotropy

Variance Anisotropy

Vsw

θ <Β;

- -aligned coords
 perp power dominates:
 bx2:by2:bz2 = 5:4:1
 - BelcherDavis71, KleinEA91, HorburyEA95,...
- Interpretations?
 A: `slab' ||-prop. Alfven wave&: quasi-2D turb ampl ? k ||
 - -No conclusion from min variance dirn

Spectral/Correlation Anisotropy

Correlation Anisotropy: Maltese cross

- · MatthaeusEA90:
 - b flucts at 1AU
 - Construct corrn fn Rbb(rk, r?) wrt

Find

- No single symmetry.
- Suggests 2



DassoEA05 update – At 1AU, slow and fast wind give different Rbb corrn fns

٠





FIG. 1.—Level contours for $R_{tot}(r)$. Left, slow solar wind ($V_{ew} < 400 \text{ km s}^{-1}$); right, fast solar wind ($V_{ew} > 500 \text{ km s}^{-1}$). (See text.) Levels are at 1200, 1400, 1600, and 1800 km² s⁻².

Interp: Slow/older wind has had more time to evolve [to

_ _ _

Spectral anisotropy

Observe magnetic energy spectra, P(f) At different angles to <**B** >

•

•

٠

•

٠

- Assume power-law inertial ranges
- Fit to model: *slab* + 2D

```
Best fit:
80% 2D, 20%
slab
```



Bieber et al., J. Geophys. Res., 1996

Weak Turb explanation for anisotropy

 causes suppression of || transfer

 \cdot leads to lpar > lperp

- plasma devices ~10x
- SW measurements ~ 3x
 (WeygandEA09)



 x-space interpretation: wide/narrow wave packets

But, more complicated than just weak turb

 Also 2 other classes of interactions



Related to

•



non-resonant wave-wave: ~Kraichnan

resonant: ~ShebalinEA83 perp transfer

'trivially' resonant ~hydro-like ~ unaware of B0

- 2 coupled components:
 - wave-like weak turb. flucts:
 - quasi-2D (low-freq) turbulence: Z

Distinguished by which timescale

is shorter

•

at each \boldsymbol{k} : $\tau NL(k) < \tau A(k)$

CONCEPTS:

Reduced MHD Strauss78, Montgomery82

Critical Balance Higdon86 GoldreichSridhar95



Observ. support for ~crit balance ?

 \cdot Really want full k spectrumE(kx, ky, kz) \cdot 1 s/craft only givesEred(kradial)P(f)P(f)



Vsw

θ

·But

 by collecting data at different V- angles

· can construct P(f, θ)



Vsw

θ

Horbury et al, PRL, 2008

•

Studies at different times, distances:

- Horbury et al 2008
- · Smith SW10 proceed.
- · Podesta 2009...
- Wicks et al 2010,11

Slab contrib

```
All suggest spectra
are
~critical balance
style:
q-2D + wave-
like
(Z) (W)
```

Horbury et al, PRL, 2008

NB:

- 2. Studies for **v** not done (yet)
- 3. For Hc case, see,
- eg, BeresnyakLazarian08

1. Observations

Spacecraft data: fluctuations ν, b, ρ Voyager, ACE, Ulysses, ...



Voyager data

QSN: How do flucts evolve with distance ?

٠

2. Objective

Model radial evoln of SW flucts

Treat flucts as 2 coupled components:

- wave-like (high-freq) flucts:
- quasi-2D (low-freq) turbulence: Z

incompressible

•

•



3. Processes: What causes the evolution?

· Z,W:



- expansion, advection
- stream-shear [shocks, large-scale inhomog]
- · W driven by pickup ions (outer heliosphere)
- · Energy exchange between cpts: Z \$ W
- Nonlinear cascades of W, Z proton heating

4. Why 2 components?

- Earlier transport models assumed 1 type of fluctuation
- \cdot But, physics is
 - shear drives at low-freq (non-WKB)
 - pickup ions drive high-freq flucts (Alfven waves)
 - So 2 types of flucts improvement

Equations for

- energy, cross helicity, corrn length

of Z and W

5. Equations: linear terms (steady)



2D corrn length:

wave corrn

$$\frac{d\ell}{dr} = -\hat{C}_{sh}^{Z} \frac{\ell}{r}$$

$$\frac{d\lambda}{dr} = -\hat{C}_{sh}^{W} \frac{\lambda}{r}$$

$$\frac{d\lambda_{\parallel}}{dr} = -\hat{C}_{sh}^{W} \frac{\lambda_{\parallel}}{r} - (\lambda_{\parallel} - \lambda_{res}) \frac{\dot{E}_{PI}}{UW}$$
relax to λ res

6. Sample Solutions

Sample solutions ...

Fixed BCs



Sample solutions ...



Cshear = 1 $\alpha = 2\beta = 0.25$ $\sigma D = -1/3$

+ WeygandEA09 Cluster data

Model with Voyager data

· 'mapped' solutions: different BCs for each Voyager interval

·Voyager data: Chuck Smith, John Richardson

distance, AU

Observ/Model agreement is encouraging

SUMMARY

SW fluctuations can model as 2 types: wave-like + quasi-2D turb

Allows driving physics to be included more consistently.

 \cdot ~agreement with observations

Corrn lengths:
 perp: 2-cpt model ~better fit
 than 1-cpt



Thank you

Click to edit Master subtitle style



14/12/11

Bochum, Sep 2011

Shortcomings

· Main weakness

- single lengthscale for each cpt

eg,
$$\lambda = \lambda + = \lambda$$
-

- Should really have § lengthscales for Z, W.
- Is driving of pickup ion *lengthscale* OK ?
- \cdot No compressible flucts

Nonlinear terms: Modeling

- Use 2-cpt phenom [OughtonEA06, PhysPlas].
- Strong Va limit

•

•

•

•

- Leading-order terms are ? cascades
 - (resonant interaction with quasi-2D cpt)

Look at zero cross helicity version first

Nonlinear terms:

? cascades

~von Karman-Howarth phenomenology



• Also eqns for lengths:

•

~Kraichnan

 $|\ell,\lambda,\lambda_{||}$

Oughton et al 06, Phys. Plasma



Same structure as Hc = 0 case (but uglier)

·Roughly,

• non-linear terms weakened as $\sigma c \bullet S$ 1.

$$eg, \quad \alpha \frac{Z^{3}}{\ell} \rightarrow \alpha \frac{Z^{3}}{\ell} f(\sigma_{c})$$

$$f(\sigma_{c}) = \frac{\sqrt{1 - \sigma_{c}^{2}}}{2} \left[\sqrt{1 + \sigma_{c}} + \sqrt{1 - \sigma_{c}} \right], \quad |\mathsf{f}| < 1$$

Cross helicity



Temperature

Cross





Data: Helios, Voyager

6. Steady-state equations

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}Z^2}{\mathrm{d}r} = -\left[1 + M\sigma_D - C_{\mathrm{sh}}^Z\right]\frac{Z^2}{r} - \frac{\alpha f(\sigma_c)Z^3}{U}\frac{Z^3}{\ell} + f_X\frac{X}{U}$$
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}W^2}{\mathrm{d}r} = -\left[1 + M\tilde{\sigma}_D - C_{\mathrm{sh}}^W\right]\frac{W^2}{r} - \frac{\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{f}W^2Z}{U}\frac{Z^2}{\lambda}\frac{2}{1 + \lambda/\ell} - f_X\frac{X}{U} + \frac{\dot{E}_{PI}}{U}\frac{W^2}{\lambda}\frac{U}{\lambda}\frac{U}{L} + \frac{\dot{C}_{PI}}{U}\frac{U}{\lambda}\frac{U}{L}$$

 $\frac{\mathrm{d}\ell}{\mathrm{d}r} = \left[M\sigma_D - \hat{C}_{\mathrm{sh}}^Z\right] \frac{\ell}{r} + \beta_z \left[Z - f_X \frac{X}{U} \frac{\ell}{Z^2}\right] \\
\frac{\mathrm{d}\lambda}{\mathrm{d}r} = \left[M\tilde{\sigma}_D - \hat{C}_{\mathrm{sh}}^W\right] \frac{\lambda}{r} + \beta_W \left[Z + f_X \frac{X}{U} \frac{\lambda}{W^2}\right] \\
\frac{\mathrm{d}\lambda_{\parallel}}{\mathrm{d}r} = \left[M\tilde{\sigma}_D - \hat{C}_{\mathrm{sh}}^W\right] \frac{\lambda_{\parallel}}{r} - \left(\lambda_{\parallel} - \lambda_{res}\right) \frac{\dot{E}_{PI}}{UW^2} + \Gamma_{Nonlinear}$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{c}}{\mathrm{d}r} = \alpha_{Z}f'\frac{Z}{U\ell} - \left[\frac{C_{\mathrm{Sh}}^{Z} - M\sigma_{D}}{r}\right]\sigma_{c},$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{\sigma}_{c}}{\mathrm{d}r} = \alpha_{W}\tilde{f}'\frac{Z}{U\lambda}\frac{2}{1+\lambda/\ell} - \left[\frac{C_{\mathrm{Sh}}^{W} - M\tilde{\sigma}_{D}}{r} + \frac{\dot{E}_{PI}}{UW^{2}}\right]\tilde{\sigma}_{c}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}T}{\mathrm{d}r} = -\frac{4T}{3r} + \frac{m_{p}}{3Uk_{B}}\left[\alpha_{Z}f\frac{Z^{3}}{\ell} + \alpha_{W}\tilde{f}\frac{ZW^{2}}{\lambda}\frac{2}{1+\lambda/\ell}\right]$$
proton temperature

tubulent heating

Model has various parameters, controlling

- strength of stream-shear

[forces energies & lengthscales]

pickup ion driving

•

[reasonably constrained/understood. IsenbergEA03, 05]

- local conserv laws for Z or W nonlinear dynamics
- Solns are typically stable to small changes in these params.
- Similarly for small changes in





- Inherently nonlinear
 => spectral transfer
- Advection [selfdistortion]
- No dispersion relation
 - each length-scale coupled to

Log k

```
many time-scales (and v.v.)
```

Log E(k)

- No spectral transfer (linear case)
- Propagation
- Dispersion relation ω(k)
 - each length-scale couples to
 - a specific time-scale

ω(k)



Sample solutions ...



Csh= 1,
$$\alpha = 2\beta = 0.25$$
, $\sigma D = -1/3$

+ WeygandEA09 Cluster data

Why 2-component models ?

- Theory: RMHD, critical balance
- \cdot Simulations:

. . .

GhoshEA98...

model

· Observational support: BieberEAP4,96, Slab-only Fit: 5% slab





Bieber et al., J. Geophys. Res., 1996

95% 2D